UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mary Labrador, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s),		CASE NO. CV-08-2270 SC
	Defendant(s).	•
Couns following stip	sel report that they have met and pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:
✓ (Note: Partie. appreciably n ADR phone o	nore likely to meet their needs th conference and may not file this f	(ADR L.R. 5) ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is an any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
ADR Phone C	Conference. See Civil Local Rule te Process:	16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
	Private ADR (please identify pr	rocess and provider)
Γhe parties ag ✓	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The conferring the case to an ADR proof other requested deadline April 2	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.) 0, 2009
Dated: <u>8/13/08</u> Dated: <u>8</u> /15/	8	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

_[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 21, 2008

